

[Accueil](#)[Revenir à l'accueil](#)[Collection](#)[Boite_023 | Notes de la fin de sa vie pour ses derniers livres.](#)[Collection](#)[Boite_023-18-chem | Philosophes. Sophistes. Item](#)[G. B. Bowersock, Greek sophists in the Roman Empire - suite]

[G. B. Bowersock, Greek sophists in the Roman Empire - suite]

Auteur : Foucault, Michel

Présentation de la fiche

Coteb023_f0807

SourceBoite_023-18-chem | Philosophes. Sophistes.

LangueFrançais

TypeFicheLecture

RelationNumérisation d'un manuscrit original consultable à la BnF, département des Manuscrits, cote NAF 28730

Références éditoriales

Éditeuréquipe FFL (projet ANR *Fiches de lecture de Michel Foucault*) ; projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle).

Droits

- Image : Avec l'autorisation des ayants droit de Michel Foucault. Tous droits réservés pour la réutilisation des images.
- Notice : équipe FFL ; projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle). Licence Creative Commons Attribution – Partage à l'Identique 3.0 (CC BY-SA 3.0 FR).

Notice créée par [équipe FFL](#) Notice créée le 19/03/2021 Dernière modification le 23/04/2021

OTHER LITERARY MEN

III

imperium.¹ Theirs was a valuable, if often frustrating work: what confronted them constantly was the petty factional strife and city rivalry of which the quarrels of sophists were themselves a manifestation. But Dio and Plutarch, unlike the sophists, did not take sides and inflame local sentiment. They tried rather to restore harmony and to encourage a degree of sound, independent local government which would be welcomed by the Roman regime.²

From a lofty social and cultural plane Dio and Plutarch addressed themselves, as did the sophists, not merely to the cities and magistrates of the East, but also to the aristocrats and emperors of Rome. Their role in East-West relations at the turn of the century was closely comparable to that of the sophists in the decades following. Dio spoke intimately with the emperor Trajan and proffered philosophic counsel.³ Plutarch surveyed the battlefield of Bedriacum in the company of L. Mestrius Florus, from whom he received the Roman citizenship;⁴ and he presented learned sympotic discussions as well as parallel lives of notable Greeks and Romans to none other than Trajan's marshal (and Pliny's friend), Q. Sosius Senecio.⁵ Nor will Plutarch's association with the Avidii be forgotten, for that was a family which produced proconsuls and legates in Greece in successive generations during Plutarch's lifetime.⁶ Then there was C. Minicius Fundanus, another friend of Pliny, who went out to govern Asia under Hadrian: some years previously he had received mention from Plutarch in a treatise on spiritual tranquillity, and had appeared as a speaker in a dialogue on controlling one's wrath.⁷

¹ Cf. Plutarch's *Praecepta rei publicae gerendae* and the many admonitory addresses of Dio. On this whole point, cf. G. W. Bowersock, *JRS* 58 (1968), 261 f.

² Bowersock, loc. cit. (previous note) and D. Nörr, *Imperium und Polis in der hohen Prinzipatszeit* (1966).

³ Cf., e.g., *VS*, p. 488.

⁴ Plut. *Otho* 14; *SIG3* 829a (Mestrius Plutarchus).

⁵ Cf. C. P. Jones, *JRS* 56 (1966), 67 and 73.

⁶ Plutarch and the Avidii: C. P. Jones, op. cit., 71–3, in regard to the *De fraterno amore* and the *Quæstiones convivales*. Cf. the summary account of the Avidii in this period by A. N. Sherwin-White, *The Letters of Pliny* (1966), p. 388. Sherwin-White should not assume, however, that T. Avidius Quietus, suffect consul in 111, was ever proconsul of Achaea.

⁷ *De tranquillitate animi* 464 ε; cf. *De cohibenda ira*. Fundanus governed Asia in 122/3: Euseb. *Hist. Eccl.* 4. 8. 6.



