AccueilRevenir à l'accueilCollectionBoite_022 | Pères de l'Église.CollectionBoite_022-1-chem | Noces spirituelles [rayé : Chair (Antiquité) Virginité] Item[photocopie]

[photocopie]

Auteur: Foucault, Michel

Présentation de la fiche

Coteb022 f0029

SourceBoite_022-1-chem | Noces spirituelles [rayé : Chair (Antiquité) Virginité]

LangueFrançais

TypePhotocopie

RelationNumérisation d'un manuscrit original consultable à la BnF, département des Manuscrits, cote NAF 28730

Références éditoriales

Éditeuréquipe FFL (projet ANR *Fiches de lecture de Michel Foucault*) ; projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle).

Droits

- Image : Avec l'autorisation des ayants droit de Michel Foucault. Tous droits réservés pour la réutilisation des images.
- Notice : équipe FFL ; projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle). Licence Creative Commons Attribution – Partage à l'Identique 3.0 (CC BY-SA 3.0 FR).

Notice créée par <u>équipe FFL</u> Notice créée le 21/10/2020 Dernière modification le 23/04/2021

and late hellenistic spirit ("spätantiker Geist"), but also to notice the affinity of these texts with the ideas of the christian Encratites. Both seem characterized by a sharp dualism in which marriage as the institution that keeps this world going is rejected; Eros and, indeed, the whole realm of γένεσις have become something very inferior. We find an echo of this repudiation of human zest of life and ambition in 1 John 2, 15-16. This statement, however, should not blind us to an important difference between the gnostic (izing) and the encratic texts we have discussed. The latter are unmistakeably eschatologically coloured; the motivation of encratic life has remained eschatological, however different it may be from the pauline perspective in 1 Cor. 7. This eschatological motivation can even be different from one text to another. So in APTh continence is motivated by the hope of the future resurrection as the reward of an encratic life, whereas in the texts produced (and attacked) by Clement in Strom. 3 the idea that the Encratites by their way of life anticipate the eschatological situation (resurrection, victory over death) is predominant. Here we saw the influence of Lk. 20, 34-36 at work. In the gnostic texts the eschatological motivation of the rejection of Eros and procreation is completely lacking. The eschatological dualism (this and the coming world) has been replaced by an anthropological dualism: part of man (his body) belongs to this inferior world of senses, Eros and death, another, more essential, part (his mind) belongs to the realm of immortality. The discussion of these few texts shows, that scholars who argue that Encratism and Gnosticism should be nicely distinguished, are right 29. On the other hand, I disagree with G. Quispel on the nature of the Encratism of EvEg: it is not hellenistic, but eschatological (Salome's questions!) and, therefore, Jewish-christian; and the link between marriage and death does not necessarily derive from the Poimandres, but can also be explained from Lk. 20, 36, a text of which Quispel is ready to admit the influence on Egyptian Encratism.

We should not think, however, that the Poimandres and the Nag-Hammadi treatise "On the Origin of the World" represent the current gnostic view of procreation. As a matter of fact, we know from Clement's Excerpts that the second century Valentinian gnostic Theodotus criticizes the Encratites' view as laid down in EvEg:

And when the Lord says to Salome that Death will reign as long as women give birth, he does not want to disapprove procreation, as this is necessary for the salvation of the faithful. For this birth has to be there, until the seed $(\tau \delta \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha)$ that is determined beforehand, has been brought forth (67, 2-3).

As Clement himself ³⁰, Theodotus tries to give a non-encratite interpretation of this logion of EvEg. According to him procreation is not in itself meaningful, but only insofar it serves "the salvation of the faithful", i.e. the gathering together of the Elect, the pneumatic seed. Here we have the traditional idea, that the number of the Elect is determined from the beginning, and that the world (and the γένεσις) will not cease to exist before this number is completed. But birth in this world is not in itself good, for it leads to death. In Exc. Theod. 80, I we read: "He whom the Mother ³¹ begets (γεννῆ) is brought into death and into the world, but he whom Christ begets again (ἀναγεννῆ) is transferred to life and to the Ogdoad". We notice that we have here the same equation of world, γένεσις and death as in the encratite texts; but there is now another γένεσις, the ἀναγέννησις, which in Valentinian texts is also called the μόρφωσις. So there are two kinds of γένεσις, the one leading to death, the other to life; the former is only meaningful insofar as it is the necessary condition of the latter.

29. Fundamental for this distinction: F. BOLGIANI, La tradizione eresiologica sull'Encratismo I, Atti dell' Acad. delle Scienze di Torino 91 (1956-57) p. 1-71; II, ib. 96 (1961-62), p. 1-128. See also G. QUISPEL, e.c. and in many other publications.

30. See below p. 220-221.
31. The Mother, i.e. the female representing this present world in its need of salvation by the male saviour. See above p. 216-217.