

[Accueil](#)[Revenir à l'accueil](#)[Collection](#)[Les correspondances de François Guizot : 1806-1874](#)[Collection](#)[178_Lettres de John Croker : 1837-1857](#)[Item](#)[West Molesey, the 16th Nov. 1848, John Croker à François Guizot](#)

West Molesey, the 16th Nov. 1848, John Croker à François Guizot

Auteurs : Croker, John-Wilson (1780-1857)

Les folios

En passant la souris sur une vignette, le titre de l'image apparaît.

6 Fichier(s)

Les mots clés

[Archives](#), [Exil](#), [France \(1848 \(Révolution de février\)\)](#), [France \(1848-1852, 2e République\)](#), [Histoire \(France\)](#), [Politique \(France\)](#), [Révolution](#)

Relations entre les lettres

Ce document n'a pas de relation indiquée avec un autre document du projet.□

Présentation

Date1848-11-16

GenreCorrespondance

Editeur de la ficheMarie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN
(Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle)

Information générales

LangueAnglais

Cote34, 34 suite, AN : 163 MI 42 AP 178 Papiers Guizot Bobine Opérateur 28

Nature du documentLettre autographe

Supportcopie numérisée de microfilm

Etat général du documentBon

Localisation du documentArchives Nationales (Paris)

Citer cette page

Croker, John-Wilson (1780-1857), West Molesey, the 16th Nov. 1848, John Croker à François Guizot, 1848-11-16

Marie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle)

Consulté le 01/03/2026 sur la plate-forme EMAN :

<https://eman-archives.org/Guizot-Lieven/items/show/7465>

Copier

Informations éditoriales

DestinataireGuizot, François (1787-1874)

Lieu de destinationBrompton (Angleterre)

DroitsMarie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle). Licence Creative Commons Attribution - Partage à l'Identique 3.0.

Lieu de rédactionWest Molesey (Angleterre)

Notice créée par [Marie Dupond](#) Notice créée le 01/10/2024 Dernière modification le 14/12/2024

34/

rip.

West Molesey
Kingston

16 Nov 48.

My dear Sir

Having come up here
for a few days I happened
to look into one of my many
volumes of revolutionary
papers & I found the
inclosed - which is curious
- I need not point out to
you the insufficiency of
Cassaigne's excuses - but
there are several atrocious
deeds &

Proclamations & his
patches of his in which
Pinet could have no
share, for ~~the~~ Pinet
was only his colleague
in the South.

You will see that he
does not deny the horror
committed on Mlle de la
Barrière - but throws the
blame on Pinet.

This was repeated the
time for Coaigrae was
a

Thermidor
of the red
I believe
Bob, in spirit
in Aug. 17
her name
details of the
of the ^{revolu}
body, pub
not in a fly
on his hist
of the Revolu
80 - the Str
rière & spe
that the crim

Thermidorien & Pinct was
 of the red Republic & was
 a believer in concealment.
 But, in spite of this denial
 in Augt 1795, Prud-
 homme who knew more
 details of the ^{Revolution} than any
 body, published in 1795-
 not in a flying sheet, but
 in his History of the Crimes
 of the Revolution in 5 vols
 80 - the story of the Lateral
 river & speaks positively
 that the crime was Caosig-
 nac's

has alone - against
this solemn attack I do
not find that Cavaignac
made any answer - "He
did & made no sign."

If I had time to look
thru' the whole mass of
papers of the day, I have
no doubt that I could
prosecute Cavaignac's guilt
as to Mlle Dubarrère, but
that is only one case of pro-
fligacy; there are twenty
thirty-four cases of mur-
= der

36
p. 101

3

der. Don't lose my pa-
per. It is almost unique
- It seems that the General
does not know of it as he
would otherwise have
produced it - for altho'
it is by no means a disin-
hation it is at least a
denial & that is more
than he had before to
allege.

How are you get-
ting on with your work?
Can I keep any more to
you in that or in any
other

other matter? If so com=
mand me? I am, ^{more} anxious
our about your election
than Cavaignac's or L. Bu
naparte's & I really think
it more important. The
election of either of these is
but a natural step,
yours would be almost
a counter revolution. I
expect the necessity of ^{adopting} ~~some~~
a plastron like L. B. - but
I suppose there was no other
way of defeating Cavaignac
I am, here on rural business for