

[Accueil](#)[Revenir à l'accueil](#)[Collection](#)[Les correspondances de François Guizot : 1806-1874](#)[Collection](#)[178_Lettres de John Croker : 1837-1857](#)[Item](#)[West Molesey, the 18th April 1849, John Croker à François Guizot](#)

West Molesey, the 18th April 1849, John Croker à François Guizot

Auteurs : Croker, John-Wilson (1780-1857)

Les folios

En passant la souris sur une vignette, le titre de l'image apparaît.

8 Fichier(s)

Les mots clés

[Amis et relations](#), [Exil](#), [France \(1848 \(Révolution de février\)\)](#), [France \(1848-1852, 2e République\)](#), [histoire](#), [Politique \(France\)](#), [Portrait](#), [Presse](#), [Publication](#), [Travail intellectuel](#)

Relations entre les lettres

Ce document n'a pas de relation indiquée avec un autre document du projet.□

Présentation

Date1849-04-18

GenreCorrespondance

Editeur de la ficheMarie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN
(Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle)

Information générales

LangueAnglais

Cote42, 42 suite, AN : 163 MI 42 AP 178 Papiers Guizot Bobine Opérateur 28

Nature du documentLettre autographe

Supportcopie numérisée de microfilm

Etat général du documentBon

Localisation du documentArchives Nationales (Paris)

Citer cette page

Croker, John-Wilson (1780-1857), West Molesey, the 18th April 1849, John Croker à

François Guizot, 1849-04-18

Marie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle)

Consulté le 02/02/2026 sur la plate-forme EMAN :

<https://eman-archives.org/Guizot-Lieven/items/show/7473>

Copier

Informations éditoriales

DestinataireGuizot, François (1787-1874)

Lieu de destinationBrompton (Angleterre)

DroitsMarie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle). Licence Creative Commons Attribution – Partage à l'Identique 3.0.

Lieu de rédactionWest Molesey (Angleterre)

Notice créée par [Marie Dupond](#) Notice créée le 01/10/2024 Dernière modification le 14/12/2024

122

West Malvern

Surrey

18th April 49

My dear Sir

I never saw the
Italian article till the
review was published.
If I had I should on
your account have
endeavoured to have
had that note omitted.
Without at all entering
into the former facts
of the whole case, it is
certainly

certainly our duty as
conservatives not to
lean hard on the errors
~~of the~~ (supposing them
to be errors) of those
who are now united
with us in a common
cause & moreover I
should most certainly
have protested against
the admission of any
thing that was likely
to give you pain as
no

No doubt that
naturally
brother. In
the bogies
Editor -
I wish that
Ist cause
but I am
sorry that
see how it
gave - It is
"Matouddup"
"injustice"

no doubt that he must
 naturally do, & I really
 wonder how it escaped
 the vigilance of our
 editors - I am not sur-
 prised that he should
 not care about the topic
 but I am surprised
 to say that he did not
 see how it must affect
 you - It is as you say
 "maloddisce" & perhaps
 "injustice" but it is worse
 than

that - it is unbecom-
ing to you; who, at all times & more
particularly of late, are so
entitled to our sympathy
& regards.

I shall be anxious to
know what your own
partial man think of
Mr. Macaulay's case - not
on the score of personal
talents; on that there is no
question; but as to his
historical bonne foi.

I can assure that I began
to read his book with
the most favourable
impression

62. (6)
p. 10

3

suspicion & that I be-
cause his antagonism
reluctantly & perforce.

you perhaps can
discover something
about the discrepancy
between Mackintosh's
copies of Barillon & Bon-
aparte's despatches &
Mackintosh's statement
of them with regard
to Lord Rochester. There
is certainly intrigue.
I wish very much to
know

unravel that mystery.
How comes Macaire to
draw from the papers
a conclusion the very
opposite of Mackintosh's
- Macaire may perhaps
copied Mackintosh's

I am as little as ever
satisfied with what
is going on in France.
- it must, I fear, end
by the sword.

I need not say that
I wish you success in
your

your cause
I am as
it is
I am for
another
against the
bazarre
own people
I should like
you a
man - not
for the
not under
monarchy

ingotery.

one to

apart

the very

instructive

perhaps

in looks

the answer

what

James

and

it

Not

as in

your

your candidature since

you are embarked in

it whence your

desire would be

another great protest

against la revolution

bazarre - but for your

own personal sake

I should rather see

you a private gentleman

man - not even voting

for another - I can

not understand what

monarchists are to

do

do in these Republican
assemblies; nor how
they are to take a
fair & honorable
course.

Ever very dear Sir

Sincerely yours

W. Loker

Don't forget my Campaign
paper