

[Accueil](#)[Revenir à l'accueil](#)[Collection](#)[Les correspondances de François Guizot : 1806-1874](#)[Collection](#)[178_Lettres de John Croker : 1837-1857](#)[Item](#)[West Molesey, the 15th June 1849, John Croker à François Guizot](#)

West Molesey, the 15th June 1849, John Croker à François Guizot

Auteurs : Croker, John-Wilson (1780-1857)

Les folios

En passant la souris sur une vignette, le titre de l'image apparaît.

5 Fichier(s)

Les mots clés

[Exil](#), [France \(1848 \(Révolution de février\)\)](#), [France \(1848-1852, 2e République\)](#), [Livre](#), [Presse](#), [Publication](#), [Révolution française](#)

Relations entre les lettres

Ce document n'a pas de relation indiquée avec un autre document du projet.□

Présentation

Date1849-06-15

GenreCorrespondance

Editeur de la ficheMarie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN
(Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle)

Information générales

LangueAnglais

Cote49, 49 suite, AN : 163 MI 42 AP 178 Papiers Guizot Bobine Opérateur 28

Nature du documentLettre autographe

Supportcopie numérisée de microfilm

Etat général du documentBon

Localisation du documentArchives Nationales (Paris)

Citer cette page

Croker, John-Wilson (1780-1857), West Molesey, the 15th June 1849, John Croker à François Guizot, 1849-06-15

Marie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle)

Consulté le 31/12/2025 sur la plate-forme EMAN :

<https://eman-archives.org/Guizot-Lieven/items/show/7480>

Informations éditoriales

DestinataireGuizot, François (1787-1874)

Lieu de destinationBrompton (Angleterre)

DroitsMarie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle). Licence Creative Commons Attribution - Partage à l'Identique 3.0.

Lieu de rédactionWest Molesey (Angleterre)

Notice créée par [Marie Dupond](#) Notice créée le 01/10/2024 Dernière modification le 14/12/2024

49

West Molesey
Surrey
15th June 49.

My dear Sir,

I regret that my
dear Deice must have mis=
understood you, but
his statement as repeated
in the Foster paper is
very clear. I am in
doubt whether to show
you what I say on the
point, or not, because
I am inclined to keep
you

you personally out of the
matter & I can sufficiently
answer Lord Duns out
of your own book, which
is the object of my article;
& you will be personally
~~at~~ indispensable for any
of my views - Pray tell
me when your present ^{hand}
scale of conduct is our
safest - It was framed,
I know, in imitation of
ours, & was very like ours
in

in all respects
it was before
into office

Mr. Well
know, an idea
of Lord Pitt
Duke of Devon
I never saw
book is not
reading.
in a style
you no doubt
have noticed
it, but it

of the
sufficiently
out
which
article;
occasionally
for any
may tell
hundreds,
is our
named,
in of
like ours
in

in all respects - but I think
it was before you came
into office.

Mr. Wellerley is, I
hear, an illegitimate son
of Lord Mornington's - the
Duke of Wellington's nephew.
I never saw him. His
book is not worth your
reading. He abuses you
in a style that can do
you no harm. I should
have noticed it in my arti-
cle, but it is really not
worth

worth it & notice on the litera-
ture by Review would spread
the impertinence farther
than it will ever reach by
its own wings.

My French paper has
not arrived to day, so
that I suppose the affair
in Paris may have been
more serious than was
expected - I doubt that Be-
dée Roblin will not be
found une personne des cir-
constances as they used to
say in 1793. Tant à vous

Shaker

3

L.S. I do not find in
any of your speeches any precise
declaration in favour
of protection: but it is
clearly deducible from
what you say of landed
property in p. 80 & seq.

Had you ever occasion in
any of your speeches in
the chamber to say any
thing direct on the subject?
if so we could refer to a
file of the Debate & quote
the paper.