

[Accueil](#)[Revenir à l'accueil](#)[Collection](#)[Les correspondances de François Guizot : 1806-1874](#)[Collection](#)[178\\_Lettres de John Croker : 1837-1857](#)[Item](#)[West Molesey, the 11th Feb. 1855, John Croker à François Guizot](#)

## West Molesey, the 11th Feb. 1855, John Croker à François Guizot

**Auteurs : Croker, John-Wilson (1780-1857)**

### Les folios

En passant la souris sur une vignette, le titre de l'image apparaît.

5 Fichier(s)

### Les mots clés

[France \(1852-1870, Second Empire\)](#), [Histoire \(Angleterre\)](#), [Politique \(Angleterre\)](#), [Presse](#), [Publication](#), [Santé](#)

### Relations entre les lettres

Ce document n'a pas de relation indiquée avec un autre document du projet.□

### Présentation

Date1855-02-11

GenreCorrespondance

Editeur de la ficheMarie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN  
(Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle)

### Information générales

LangueAnglais

Cote78, AN : 163 MI 42 AP 178 Papiers Guizot Bobine Opérateur 28

Nature du documentLettre autographe

Supportcopie numérisée de microfilm

Etat général du documentBon

Localisation du documentArchives Nationales (Paris)

### Citer cette page

Croker, John-Wilson (1780-1857), West Molesey, the 11th Feb. 1855, John Croker à François Guizot, 1855-02-11.

Marie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-

Sorbonne nouvelle).

Consulté le 06/04/2025 sur la plate-forme EMAN :

<https://eman-archives.org/Guizot-Lieven/items/show/7509>

## Informations éditoriales

Destinataire Guizot, François (1787-1874)

Lieu de destination Val-Richer (France)

Droits Marie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle). Licence Creative Commons Attribution - Partage à l'Identique 3.0.

Lieu de rédaction West Molesey (Angleterre)

Notice créée par [Marie Dupond](#) Notice créée le 01/10/2024 Dernière modification le 14/12/2024

---

When you write  
write to me  
take me  
you know  
old know  
the 17  
on the  
it is  
but  
in  
I have  
regarded  
envelope.

regarded envelope.  
West, Holesey Surrey  
11 Feb. 55

78  
from cher Am. I have been long  
wishing to write to you, but deffer'd  
doing so for a reason that you will  
guess, when I say that the tone of your  
letter encourages me to write with  
equal freedom. Let me begin by  
getting rid of myself. I am, as you  
see, alive, contrary to all expectation,  
and, tho' I have frequent & serious  
alarms, I recover from them rapidly,  
and am, <sup>now</sup> as well, I believe, in mind, &  
apparently in body as I have been  
for two years. I thank God for so  
great a mercy, which is enhanced  
by the affectionate attentions of my  
family, & the kind recollection of my  
friends. So much for myself.

I spent the summer at Alverbank, &  
as it is not one whole day's distance  
from Val Richer, I often thought of  
pref=

pressing you to run over to us for a week, but on recollecting the inconveniences which a visit to England might create chez nous & chez vous, I suppressed my desire, tho' it was, & is, very strong, of seeing you once more.

Your judgement has not failed you. I have given up the Quarterly. I had several reasons. First I felt that my state of health precluded periodical exertions. Secondly I remembered my Horace & Gibbon - "Solus seorsum = ternis", and the Archbishop of Granada. These two causes induced me, <sup>18 months ago</sup> to prepare Murray for my early secessions, but it was precipitated by the change of politics which the Publisher, more I think than <sup>even</sup> the new editor, resolved on adopting, and especially as to the Russian war which I thought not only unnecessary, but absolutely unjust in principle, a grand mistake in policy - likely

likely to be, from an incalculably safe effect on the enemy's difficulty on our side to be <sup>with</sup> ~~effect~~ or, what was more the unhappy Jew & fable than his own views - They and in the short was in London he saw this change and, the kindred ed Murray, then looking to the order for his own editor had not the wish, to resist soon saw the truth and hastened to article was in No Bill, which consid

to us for a  
the income  
it to England  
char cross, I  
it was, & is,  
once more.  
not failed  
as quarterly.  
I felt that  
periodi=  
remember'd  
two seasons=  
Granada.  
on the ago  
to prepare  
visions, but  
change of  
er, more I  
resolved on  
as to the Rus=  
not only  
unjust in  
take in policy  
- liberty

likely to be, from local circumstances,  
incalculably expensive, of small & doubtful  
effect on the enemy - of proportionate  
difficulty on our part, & after all, cer=  
tain to be <sup>either</sup> ~~at least~~ altogether ineffective  
or, what was more probable, to leave  
the unhappy Turk more exhausted  
& feeble than he was before. These were  
my views - They were Lockhart's also.  
and in the short interval in which he  
was in London he told me that he fore=  
saw this change of policy, <sup>in the regions</sup> - that Mr. Lay=  
ard, the hibernian traveller, had be witch=  
ed Murray, that they and himself was  
looking to the Quarterly as a step lad=  
der for his own ambition & that the Qu=  
editor had not the power, if he had  
he wish, to resist this same pressure. I  
soon saw the truth of this view of the case  
and hastened to write down. My last  
article was in N<sup>o</sup> 100 on the New Reform  
Bill, which consistency to my own opini=  
one

ous, & my alarm as such a stride towards the abrogation of the monarchy forced me to write, which I should not have otherwise been induced to do after the difficulty which I had found as to one or two articles in the preceding No 87 which I remember you noticed in your last letter - those difficulties & the article on the Eastern question determined me to withdraw at once & wholly, & I only gave the Reform article as the epilogue to my political Essays on that subject.

This is a long story but it serves to answer two or three topics & enquiries in your letter. I don't believe that Mr Shevin is as yet a "Doctor" at least he was not so last year. I think he is still only A.M. Master of Arts - So his title is Mr. & his address "The Reverend Mr." all my family would <sup>if Mrs Jones</sup> send their kindest regards as Mr. Leaken does. The Burrows are all at Kensington. Love yours  
Whaleker

I have only room to  
say a very few words to your  
enquiry about our new Ministry  
- I live so entirely in extracts, that  
I am by no means an expert of affairs  
but I do not believe the Palmerston Ministry will  
through the Sepia. Palmerston's personal influence  
over-rated. In fact it exists only with the Radicals &  
changes & the various parties he has served here & abroad  
an object of hope - not confidence with all the advocates of  
I had paucity of speaking talents, in the name of common  
comparative importance there, which unless he be  
proved since my time his talent in that way hard by  
Moreover he is neither Whig nor Tory - but what I find  
any-thingarian & tho' that latitudinarian charac-  
acter was a temporary purpose it won't do so long  
The first great difficulty will be the  
committee. It seems to me incompatible  
existence of a Ministry, but it has been  
voted by our immense majority: how  
is it to be either managed or got  
rid of - I do not see the way  
out of this scrape.