

[Accueil](#)[Revenir à l'accueil](#)[Collection](#)[Les correspondances de François Guizot : 1806-1874](#)[Collection](#)[178_Lettres de John Croker : 1837-1857](#)[Item](#)[Alverbank Gosport, the 28th June 1855, John Croker à François Guizot](#)

Alverbank Gosport, the 28th June 1855, John Croker à François Guizot

Auteurs : Croker, John-Wilson (1780-1857)

Les folios

En passant la souris sur une vignette, le titre de l'image apparaît.

4 Fichier(s)

Les mots clés

[France \(1852-1870, Second Empire\)](#), [Histoire \(France\)](#), [Politique \(France\)](#), [Révolution française](#), [Travail intellectuel](#)

Relations entre les lettres

Ce document n'a pas de relation indiquée avec un autre document du projet.□

Présentation

Date1855-06-08

GenreCorrespondance

Editeur de la ficheMarie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle)

Information générales

LangueAnglais

Cote85, AN : 163 MI 42 AP 178 Papiers Guizot Bobine Opérateur 28

Nature du documentLettre autographe

Supportcopie numérisée de microfilm

Etat général du documentBon

Localisation du documentArchives Nationales (Paris)

Citer cette page

Croker, John-Wilson (1780-1857), Alverbank Gosport, the 28th June 1855, John Croker à François Guizot, 1855-06-08.

Marie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle).

Consulté le 22/12/2024 sur la plate-forme EMAN :

<https://eman-archives.org/Guizot-Lieven/items/show/7516>

Informations éditoriales

Destinataire Guizot, François (1787-1874)

Lieu de destination Val-Richer (France)

Droits Marie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle). Licence Creative Commons Attribution - Partage à l'Identique 3.0.

Lieu de rédaction Alverbank Gosport (Angleterre)

Notice créée par [Marie Dupond](#) Notice créée le 01/10/2024 Dernière modification le 14/12/2024

I am afraid
that my too
frequent letters
will give you as
dislike for the
improved facilities
of the port.
I am indeed two times
more than ever in
the
AMERICAN BANK
COAST & WARD

85



I am extremely obliged
by your information about
the Bouille's Choiseul contract
very, which now becomes one
of the most curious historical
problems that I know of. You &
M. de Bouille must have seen
by my queries that I am perfectly
by one fact of all the Memoirs
of the great collection, but my
wonder is great at finding that
M. de Choiseul, so jealous, I
might almost say, so pugnacious
on

on the subject, should have
permitted the statements, of his
having received at Bondy in
the night of the 20th-21th a note
appraising him that the King
was out of Paris, to remain una-
contradicted. - for, not only was
it the most important point,
the chaville survivors of the whole
discussion, but it was a direct
attack on his personal veracity,
as he asserted in his memoirs
that he had left Bondy 9 hours
before, & was in bed at Savoy
mirail at the time specified.
It does seem to me incompre-
hensible; and, if M. de Chausant
be not as great a liar as you -
the only solution is that he did
not receive the note - but then -
why not have said so? What
says

says your own
memoirs to this?
allow
with one me
hope the
be to you
The loss
which, in De
red carries
acquitted
(Goulins, Cher
but this argu-
ill-merited
(18th Dec) and
again
it is said the
conyers - but
conyers, I find
no notice of
to the Monit
M. Thiers does
Can you tell

I have
read by his
Mansley in
it a note
the King
main was
it only was
it points
of the whole
in directly
it security,
remains
of hours
at work
specified.
comprised
the (hundred
as few —,
it he did
but then —
? That
says

says your own historical judgements to this?

allow me to trouble you with one more question, I hope the fact, on which must be to you an agreeable subject. The Revolutionary Tribunal which, in December 1794, condemned Carrier & two accomplices, acquitted a number of others (Goulin, Chevot-Bache etc) but this acquittal seemed so ill-deserved that the Convention (18th Dec) ordered them to be again arrested & retried; it is said they were ^{some months later} so tried at Angers - but of this trial at Angers, I find no trace - there is no notice of it in any index to the Moniteur. Your friend M. Thiers does not allude to it. Can you tell me (quickly, for cela

what purpose) where I can find
any account of this second
trial or its result. Have you
(dit command' in passant) this Proc-
ess, seen or examined this Proc-
ess Carrier? It is really one of the
most curious & characteristic
episodes of the revolution, as well
in itself, as in its causes & conse-
quences, amongst the latter my
reasoning, or my fancy perhaps, classes
the 15th Vendémiaire & Napoléon
Bonaparte.

Need I tell you that I read with
great interest your candid &
forcible observations on those
points of recent history on
which we seem to differ - I will
at present only say that I do not
think that we differ so much in
essentials as in modes. & if I willingly
grant all you ask for the 18th
your prosperity is quite underd. P. &
I only require in return some indul-
gence for the no less prosperous & quiet
period so ignominiously treated as the
Comédie de 18 ans. Ever yours
Ed.