

[Accueil](#)[Revenir à l'accueil](#)[Collection](#)[Les correspondances de François Guizot : 1806-1874](#)[Collection](#)[178_Lettres de John Croker : 1837-1857](#)[Item](#)[Alverbank Gosport, the 25th Oct. 1855, John Croker à François Guizot](#)

Alverbank Gosport, the 25th Oct. 1855, John Croker à François Guizot

Auteurs : Croker, John-Wilson (1780-1857)

Les folios

En passant la souris sur une vignette, le titre de l'image apparaît.

4 Fichier(s)

Les mots clés

[France \(1852-1870, Second Empire\)](#), [Histoire \(France\)](#), [Publication](#), [Révolution française](#)

Relations entre les lettres

Ce document n'a pas de relation indiquée avec un autre document du projet.□

Présentation

Date1855-10-25

GenreCorrespondance

Editeur de la ficheMarie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle)

Information générales

LangueAnglais

Cote86, AN : 163 MI 42 AP 178 Papiers Guizot Bobine Opérateur 28

Nature du documentLettre autographe

Supportcopie numérisée de microfilm

Etat général du documentBon

Localisation du documentArchives Nationales (Paris)

Citer cette page

Croker, John-Wilson (1780-1857), Alverbank Gosport, the 25th Oct. 1855, John Croker à François Guizot, 1855-10-25.

Marie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle).

Consulté le 22/12/2024 sur la plate-forme EMAN :
<https://eman-archives.org/Guizot-Lieven/items/show/7517>

Informations éditoriales

Destinataire Guizot, François (1787-1874)

Lieu de destination Val-Richer (France)

Droits Marie Dupond & Association François Guizot, projet EMAN (Thalim, CNRS-ENS-Sorbonne nouvelle). Licence Creative Commons Attribution - Partage à l'Identique 3.0.

Lieu de rédaction Alverbank Gosport (Angleterre)

Notice créée par [Marie Dupond](#) Notice créée le 01/10/2024 Dernière modification le 14/12/2024

Died
Chambers
erect
light
high
per
may
made
suppression

Moncher Louis

86 / I ought long
since to have
really "accessed"



myself of the receipt of the
vols of your son & son-in-
law, which tho' they came much
later than they were announced,
have been too long in my
possession unacknowledged:
— but I had hoped to be able
to give some account of the
manuscripts in the Quarterly
Review, but a variety of
circumstances have, ^{not only} pre-
vented that design, but has
interrupted my reading
the works — so that even now
I

I must postpone my observations on them & only write to ask your assistance & opinion on a point that has arisen in the course of my present occupation. The republication of some of my articles on the early Revolution. You know that Bose published ^{chez Louvet} in 1795 Madame Roland's "Appel" - to which he adds her correspondence with him in a IV^{me} partie. At p. 130 of that publication is a letter of the 26th July [1789 as it would seem] in which she energetically suggests the murder of the King & Queen either on the scaffold or

by the dagger. I must be much surprised to find your mention of them in July 1792 - 1790 - but I have written only 12 days of the Bastille before the 5th open attack & to me very particularly by an agent she had perhaps never seen. Paris ago out of all copies being any share under that date. Si l'Assemblée de régler le procès historique, ou que

my objection
only with
a. Dapi-
but has
of my
the
of my
by Cas-
to Paris
1795
appel-
covers =
is in a
of that
of the
could seem
tially
of the King
caffold or
by

by the dagger. I should not be
much surprised at such a sug-
gestion from that enthusiastic
in July 1792 - or 1791 - or even
1790 - but that she should
have written in that spirit
only 12 days after the capture
of the Bastille & three months
before the 5th Oct. - the first
open attack on the King, seems
to me very surprising, &
particularly from a woman
au fond de la Bourgogne who
perhaps never expected to have
seen Paris again & was certainly
out of all expectations of ever ta-
king any share in politics - but
under that date she writes these words.
Si l'Assemblée Nat^{le} ne fait pas en
regler le procès de deux têtes il-
lustres, ou que de généraux Decius
ne

he les abattent, vous êtes touz!—

When I turn however to the vol. of Madame Roland reprinted in the "Collection Beroville & Barrière" - I find they have omitted this letter altogether! and, again, in a letter of the 4.th Sept. same year she makes remarks in the same spirit on "contoinettes". I find that the new editors have supposed that passage also. All this looks like the most culpable bad faith - Can you explain it, or give any clue to it? Who are or who were Beroville & Barrière? They affect to be candid - but these look like very flagrant instances of fraud. They give in their last vol. a notice historique by a friend of Madame Roland, whom they do not name, but whom I suppose to be Champagnant - am I right?

Ever yours
W. H. Chubb