

[photocopie]

Auteur : Foucault, Michel

Collection : [Boite_022-1-chem | Noces spirituelles \[rayé : Chair \(Antiquité\) Virginité\]](#) - [Voir les autres notices de cette collection](#)

Citer cette page

Foucault, Michel, *[photocopie]*

Éditeur : équipe FFL (projet ANR Fiches de lecture de Michel Foucault), plate-forme EMAN

Consulté le 19/01/2022 sur la plate-forme EMAN :

<https://eman-archives.org/Foucault-fiches/items/show/9695>

Présentation de la fiche

Coteb022_f0022

SourceBoite_022-1-chem | Noces spirituelles [rayé : Chair (Antiquité) Virginité]

LangueFrançais

TypePhotocopie

RelationNumérisation d'un manuscrit original consultable à la BnF, département des Manuscrits, cote NAF 28730

Références éditoriales

Éditeuréquipe FFL (projet ANR *Fiches de lecture de Michel Foucault*), plate-forme EMAN

Droits

- Image : Avec l'autorisation des ayants droit de Michel Foucault. Tous droits réservés pour la réutilisation des images.
- Notice : équipe FFL, plate-forme EMAN. *Licence Creative Commons Attribution - Partage à l'Identique 3.0 (CC BY-SA 3.0 FR)*.

Notice créée par [équipe FFL](#) Notice créée le 21/10/2020 Dernière modification le 23/04/2021

II SOME TEXTS OF ENCRATITE AND Gnosticizing ORIGIN.

In this section we discuss a number of texts in which Plato's view on the relation between marriage (as procreation) and immortality is contradicted or rejected—without, however, being explicitly referred to. All these texts date from the christian era, though there may be doubts about the specifically christian origin and character of some of them.

1. *The Acts of Paul and Thecla* (APTh).

The Acts of Paul were probably written towards the end of the second century by a priest from Asia Minor, who was anxious to increase Paul's popularity among the Encratites by whom he was disliked for his advice to Timothy (1 *Tim.* 4, 2-3).

In the APTh the preaching of St. Paul is characterized as "the word of God on continence and resurrection" (λόγος θεοῦ περὶ ἐγκρατείας καὶ ἀναστάσεως 5). It is both encratic and eschatological. His opponents, Demas and Hermogenes, accuse him of depriving young men from their wives, and young maidens from their husbands (12). This was the encratic ideal; its motivation was eschatological: "You have no part in the resurrection unless you remain pure, and do not spoil the flesh, but keep it pure" (12). The author is apparently trying to give his own version of 1 *Cor.* 7; this is also clear from the Beatitudes in ch. 5 and 6, where we have two unmistakable allusions to this pauline text: "Blessed are they who possess women as if they do not possess them," and: "Blessed are they who for the love of God have left the worldly fashion" (τοῦ σχήματος τοῦ κοσμικοῦ, cf. 1 *Cor.* 7, 31). But the relation between continence and the eschaton has undergone a very significant change: whereas in 1 *Cor.* 7 continence is motivated by the nearness of the Parousia, and the passing away of the fashion of this world, in the APTh it is not so much the coming of the end of this world and of that which keeps it going (marriage) which makes continence an urgent thing, but the resurrection is presented as the otherworldly remuneration for those who keep their flesh pure; continence has become a condition of the resurrection⁷. There lies also a typically encratic radicalization in the fact that the resurrection is reserved to those who have practised continence. This encratic teaching of Paul is further elucidated in ch. 17 where he says that God sent him in order that he should snatch man away from corruption (φθορᾶς) and impurity (ἀκαθαρσίας) and from all lust (ἡδονῆς) and death (θανάτου); all these terms seem to refer to sexual intercourse in marriage. As continence leads to resurrection, so (sexual) lust and impurity pave the way for death and corruption.

To Paul's encratic teaching Demas and Hermogenes oppose a radically different view; they say to Thamyris, to whom Thecla was given in marriage: "And we shall teach you on the resurrection, where of he (scil. Paul) says that it will take place, that it already has taken place in the children that we have, and that we rise by the knowledge of the true God". The idea that the resurrection has already taken place, is not new: it is stigmatized as the heresy of Hymenaeus and Philetus in 2 *Tim.* 2, 18. But whereas this NT passage remains vague on the nature of this resurrection, the author of the APTh, who must have had this text in mind, gives a twofold interpretation: first, there is a resurrection, that consists in having a posterity, and secondly, man rises in reaching the knowledge of the true God. The former thesis is the exact counterpart of the 'pauline' (= encratic) claim that the *future* resurrection is only for those who abstain from procreation. The second we seem to be entitled to call a specifically gnostic view, as attested by the heresiologists⁸. So Paul's

7. cf. P. NAGEL, *Die Motivierung der Askese in der alten Kirche und der Ursprung des Mönchtums*, Text. u. Unters. 95, Berlin, 1966, p. 27-28.

8. Ir. A.H. 2, 31, 2; Tert. *De Resurr.* 19 and 22; *De Praescr.* 33, 7, where the opinion is ascribed to the Valentinians; Hipp. fr. syr. 1, GCS 1, p. 251.

BnF
MSS

11. Some Notes on Marriage and Divorce

In this section we discuss a number of cases in which things were in the relation between marriage (as previously) and something is considered or rejected—without however, being explicitly referred to. All these cases date from the divorce act, though there may be doubts about the specifically doctrinal origin and character of some of them.

a. The Act of 1901 and 1907 (ADTA)

The Act of 1901 was probably written between the end of the second century by a priest from the school of law, who was anxious to increase the law's popularity among the Romanesque world. He was helped for his advice in Tamioli (X 700. 4. 2. 3).

In the ADTA the meaning of the word "marriage" is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae). It is both material and formal. The material part is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae). The formal part is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae).

It is also clear from the ADTA that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense. It is not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense. It is not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation.

But the relation between marriage and the relation has undergone a very significant change during the ADTA. It is now not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense.

The change is not only in the material part, but also in the formal part. The material part is now not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense.

The formal part is now not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense.

In the ADTA the meaning of the word "marriage" is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae). It is both material and formal. The material part is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae). The formal part is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae).

It is also clear from the ADTA that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense. It is not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense.

The change is not only in the material part, but also in the formal part. The material part is now not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense.

The formal part is now not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense.

In the ADTA the meaning of the word "marriage" is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae). It is both material and formal. The material part is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae). The formal part is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae).

It is also clear from the ADTA that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense. It is not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense.

The change is not only in the material part, but also in the formal part. The material part is now not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense.

The formal part is now not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense.

In the ADTA the meaning of the word "marriage" is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae). It is both material and formal. The material part is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae). The formal part is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae).

It is also clear from the ADTA that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense. It is not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense.

The change is not only in the material part, but also in the formal part. The material part is now not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense.

The formal part is now not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense.

In the ADTA the meaning of the word "marriage" is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae). It is both material and formal. The material part is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae). The formal part is characterized as "the union of two persons and continuation" (ad hoc non est matrimonium, sed nuptiae).

It is also clear from the ADTA that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense. It is not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense.

The change is not only in the material part, but also in the formal part. The material part is now not only the union of two persons, but also the union of two persons and continuation. This is also clear from the ADTA, which states that the word "marriage" is used in a very broad sense.